

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 14-00196 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING SECOND
MOTION TO INTERVENE AND
MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE**

13 v.

14 KWOK CHEUNG CHOW, a/k/a “Raymond
15 Chow,” a/k/a “Hai Jai,” a/k/a “Shrimpboy,”
et al.

16 Defendants.
17 _____/


18 The Court is in receipt of another document filed by an individual named Kuang-Bao
19 P. Ou-Young, entitled “Defendant-Intervenor Applicant’s Notice of Motions and Second
20 Motion to Intervene and Motion to Disqualify Judge,” in which Mr. Ou-Young again seeks
21 to intervene in this case, citing Rule 12(b)(3)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure,
22 and accuses the Court of violating 18 U.S.C. §§ 1509, 1512(b), 1512(c), warranting
23 disqualification under 28 U.S.C. § 455(a).¹ The Court previously denied Mr. Ou-Young’s
24 first Motion to Intervene as lacking any merit. See Order (dkt. 345). The Court again finds
25 that nothing in Rule 12(b)(3)(B) or in Mr. Ou-Young’s Motion warrants Mr. Ou-Young’s
26 intervention in this case, and that, even if he had standing to seek the Court’s
27 disqualification, his arguments for disqualification are meritless. Accordingly, the Motions
28

¹ The Court notes again that Mr. Ou-Young is the subject of a pre-filing order, which does not apply to this filing. See Case No. C-13-4442 EMC, dkt. 40.

are DENIED. Mr. Ou-Young is advised that he could be subject to sanctions if he continues to file frivolous motions in this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 24, 2014



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE